

=====

THE TAMIL NADU Dr.M.G.R.MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI

=====

RESULT OF THE Ph.D. STUDENTS - SCREENING COMMITTEE – JULY 2018 SESSION

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
1	Dr.Precilla Catherine	Basic Medical Sciences	<p>1. The Candidate needs to ensure interobserver variation in ultrasound.</p> <p>2. South Asian refers to Indian subcontinent.</p> <p>3. The age range can be 30-70 years.</p>	Accepted With Suggestions
2	Dr.P.Niveditha	Basic Medical Sciences	<p>1. In the current situation with controversies on the cholesterol levels & requirement of therapy, the candidate needs to spell out the cut-off values.</p> <p>2. Sample size needs to be re-worked, taking the published information on number of GDM women with elevated lipid profile, who deliver infants with elevated lipid profile. Alternatively, a pilot study can be considered with GDM subjects with elevated lipid profile.</p> <p>3. Restrict study to primi.</p>	Not Accepted
3	Dr.Ra.Poo.Ezhilmangai	Medical	<p>1. a) The Assessment of Redox in peripheral blood mononuclear cells as a surrogate for neurons is not likely to get anywhere. This can be dropped.</p> <p>b) The candidate needs to focus on NRF2 SNP's. She can do functionally relevant SNP's based on publically available database.</p> <p>c) The sample size needs to be re-worked based on the allele frequency.</p>	Not Accepted

SI. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
			<p>2. A pilot study can be done to sequence the whole NRF2 gene and look for indian specific SNP's. This can be done on controls.</p>	
4	Dr.J.Shiyamala	Siddha	<p>1. Stability studies needs to be done at 0, 3 and 6 months</p> <p>2. She needs to do a phase 1, toxicity study with 10 subjects, the duration of treatment being 90 days.</p> <p>3. If, there is no toxicity, she can then get the necessary approval from the authorities for the phase 2 study.</p> <p>4. The sample size for phage 2 study needs to be reworked. Since, no animal efficacy studies are planned, the candidate needs to take a difference between the drug and placebo, as under 10%.</p> <p>5. For phase 1 study, all biochemical parameters need to be evaluated at 0, 30, 60, 90 and 120 days.</p>	Not Accepted
5	Mrs.Y.Ashraf	Physiotherapy	<p>1. Essential to have posture correction & ergonomic advise provided.</p> <p>2. Age and sex catergorization required: Occupational factors needs to be considered.</p> <p>3. Sample size is wrongly calculated. The treatment arm differences is likely to be between 5-10% or even less. This will result in a large increase in sample size.</p> <p>4. K-taping may have uniformity issues.</p>	Not Accepted

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
6	Ms. V.Sandhiya.	Pharmacy	To increase the number of formulations.	Accepted With Suggestions
7	Mr. R.Hari Prasad	Pharmacy	The title does not reflect the scope of the work as presented by the candidate. The modification to the title should be made incorporating the evaluation of the formulation..	Accepted With Suggestions
8	K.Marihrishnaa	Pharmacy	Animal Ethical Committee clearance for more number of animals to be obtained.	Accepted With Suggestions .
9	Jailani S	Pharmacy	The scope of the work is very limited (i.e) it only strives to make a formulation that the masks the bitterness of Dapoxetine Hydrochloride.	Not Accepted
10	G.Vivekanandh	Pharmacy	The scope of the work is not clear on several parameters including monitoring the patients on accumulation basis	Not Accepted
11	S.Sivaneswari	Pharmacy		Accepted
12	S.Rajkumar	Pharmacy		Accepted
13	Manodhini Elakkiya S	Pharmacy		Accepted
14	Rajashekar Valluru	Pharmacy	Formulation (Experimental) design to be incorporated.	Accepted with Suggestions
15	Sutha P	Pharmacy	Isolation of constituents to be done after in vitro evaluation.	Accepted with Suggestions
16	Jaganathan K	Pharmacy		Accepted
17	Mrs.V.Geetha	Nursing	To revise the objective and study design appropriately.	Accepted with Suggestions
18	Mrs.I.Punithavathi	Nursing	To revise the objective and study design appropriately.	Accepted with Suggestions

Sl. No.	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	SPECIALITY	REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE	RESULT
19	Mrs.W.Vimala	Nursing		Not Accepted
20	Mrs.S.Shanmuga Priya	Nursing	To revise the objective and study design as suggested.	Accepted with Suggestions
21	Mrs.K.Jenifer Priscilla	Nursing	To revise the objective and study design as suggested	Accepted with Suggestions
22	Mrs.S.Abirami	Nursing	To revise the objective and study design as suggested	Accepted with Suggestions
23	Mrs.J.Christy Beulah	Nursing	To revise the proposal.	Accepted with Suggestions
24	Mrs.J.Jeslin Amala Mary	Nursing	To revise the objective and study design as suggested	Accepted with Suggestions
25	Mrs.S.Yogalakshmi	Nursing	To revise the objective and study design as suggested.	Accepted with Suggestions
26	Mrs.P.Vanaja	Nursing	To revise the objective and study design as suggested.	Accepted with Suggestions
27	Mrs.V.M.Dhanya	Nursing		Absent
28	Mrs.R.Uma	Nursing	To carefully choose the study setting for similar environment.	Accepted with Suggestions
29	Ms.Angel David	Nursing	To modify objective as suggested..	Accepted with Suggestions
30	Mrs.S.Avanthika	Nursing	Topic is similar to another candidate except for semantic differences..	Not Accepted
31	Mrs.J.Godlin Jini Nesalin	Nursing	Not feasible to undertake such study.	Not Accepted
32	Ms.Rebecca Sumathy Bai	Nursing		Absent

ACCEPTED

: Candidates are instructed to submit the joining report along with necessary fees through the Guide within 10 working days from the date of publication of result.

ACCEPTED WITH
RECOMMENDATION : Candidates are instructed to submit the Compliance Report through the Guide within 30 working days from the date of publication of results.

NOT ACCEPTED : Candidates are instructed to submit proposals (Four copies) through the Guide within three months from the date of publication of this result.