

**RESULT OF THE Ph.D. STUDENTS - SCREENING COMMITTEE**

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | FACULTY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | RESULT                        |
|---------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1.      | Dr. Ramya M.          | Medical | ----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accepted                      |
| 2.      | Dr.Dilip Abraham      | Medical | For the objective one the samples are collected in collabaration with Administrative staff college of India at Hyderabad. It would be better if this work is done preferably in and around Vellore which is the place of study for the candidate.                                                                                                                                            | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 3.      | Dr.Iyswarya S.        | Siddha  | 1. Anti-bacterial Study should be removed.<br>2. Anti-hyperlipidemic activity of Rat model to be included.<br>3. Chronic toxicity as per OECD-452 to be included.                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 4.      | Dr.Saraswathy S.      | Siddha  | 1. Reference of Agathiar Gunavagadam to be added.<br>2. Models should be of PCOS treatment and not that of infertility.<br>3. Insulin Sensitisation Animal model is to be included.<br>4. Androgenic Estimation activity should be added.<br>5. Obesity and Anti-oxidant model should be added.<br>6. Hormonal Assays to be carried out.                                                     | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 5.      | Dr.Dharshini Priya G. | Siddha  | 1. Drug Intervention should be changed as it is done in a PG Dissertation by same candidate.<br>2. Fecal microbiota test should be included (or)<br>Valproate induced Autistic Animal model to be taken.<br>3. SAAS – 3 chamber study to be included.<br>4. Partition test – Animal models should be included.<br>5. Only after preclinical studies, Clinical comparision to be carried out. | Not Accepted                  |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | FACULTY     | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | RESULT                        |
|---------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 6.      | Dr.R.Uthaya Ganga     | Siddha      | As the Intervention has been used already by the candidate in PG Dissertation, the Intervention needs to be changed and related Preclinical studies to be included.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Not Accepted                  |
| 7.      | Dr.Sibin R.A.         | Homoeopathy | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Revise the methods section by using standard guidelines (SPIRIT) specifically primary outcome; randomization, blinding; interventions; sample size, study population; appropriate statistical analysis &amp; tools.</li> <li>2. Paraphrase ethics section relevant to the study theme.</li> <li>3. Justify the study interventions &amp; outcomes based on homoeopathy principles in terms of the study problem.</li> </ol> | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 8.      | Dr.Gokul Krishna K.   | Homoeopathy | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rationale for choice of interventions &amp; outcome (H. enzyme) needs strengthening (literature, research gap).</li> <li>2. Specify study design, use standard guidelines (SPIRIT) to improve methods section.</li> <li>3. Revisit the title after addressing the above.</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                         | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 9.      | Dr. Satheesh M Nair   | Homoeopathy | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rationale for selecting the homoeo treatment &amp; the specific outcomes needs clarity and review of latest literature relevant to the above.</li> <li>2. Be specific with research question &amp; methodology (Sample size appropriate to the specific research question).</li> <li>3. Use standard guidelines for drafting the protocol.</li> </ol>                                                                       | Accepted with Recommendations |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE           | FACULTY     | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | RESULT                        |
|---------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 10.     | Dr.Sanju S.                     | Homoeopathy | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The tools for diagnosis of SDA and that of measuring the effect of the homoeo management need justification with literature review (Validity in the Indian context).</li> <li>2. Consider having a comparative arm (Counselling).</li> <li>3. Specify the research question and revisit primary &amp; Secondary objectives for their relevance and appropriateness.</li> <li>4. Need to revise sample size, analysis plan (Use standard guidelines).</li> <li>5. Ethics section has to be relevant to the type of study participants.</li> </ol> | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 11.     | Dr.Bencitha<br>Horrence Mary D. | Homoeopathy | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The scholar has to justify the use of several tools (CLDQ, LDDI, BKT, WISC, DST-J, etc.,) in terms of relevance and competence to the study-specific context and application.</li> <li>2. Be specific about research, objectives, methods (specifically study design, randomization, intervention, sample size).</li> <li>3. Use standard guidelines while revisiting the protocol (SPIRIT).</li> <li>4. Link the study with ongoing health programmes (eg. RBSK).</li> </ol>                                                                    | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 12      | Dr. Arun R. Nair                | Homoeopathy | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Protocol has to be updated based on standard guidelines (SPIRIT).</li> <li>2. Reframe the objective to align with research question and consider formulating the objectives as primary and secondary.</li> <li>3. Use of placebo needs justification.</li> <li>4. Sample size, statistical analysis need (Comparison) revision-specific to objectives.</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                | Accepted with Recommendations |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE        | FACULTY     | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | RESULT                        |
|---------|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 13.     | Dr. Asta Eshwaran T.S.       | Homoeopathy | 1. Rationale for choice of interventions and outcome (H enzyme) needs strengthening (literature research gap).<br>2. Validity and applicability of the <u>KOOS</u> tool for the study setting have to be spelt out.<br>3. Specify study design and use standard guidelines (SPIRIT) to improve methods section (e.g. sample size randomization).<br>4. Be clear about primary and secondary objectives. | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 14.     | Dr. Berlina Terrence Mary D. | Homoeopathy | Specify the competency and role of the scholar in the study.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accepted                      |
| 15.     | Dr. Sonnymon R.              | Homoeopathy | 1. Revisit the scope of the study. In the present form it does not appear to be at the level of doctoral work.<br>2. Specify study design, end points, sample size, definitions and statistical analysis.<br>3. Spell out ethical concerns specific to the study.                                                                                                                                       | Not Accepted                  |
| 16.     | Dr. Nisha Gopinath           | Homoeopathy | 1. It will be useful to write objective specific methods sections.<br>2. Being an RCT, use SPIRIT guidelines to update the human studies (Interventions, sample size, Randomization, Blinding, Analysis plan).<br>3. Revisit the title if necessary.                                                                                                                                                    | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 17.     | Dr. Sheeba S.                | Homoeopathy | 1. Specify the study design, accordingly update the protocol as per the standard guidelines (SPIRIT).<br>2. Consider having a comparison group; Specify the measurements.<br>3. Revisit the sampling plan, sample size and analysis plan.                                                                                                                                                               | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 18.     | Dr.D. Justin Jose            | Homoeopathy | 1. Modify the duration of repetition of doses (instead of every 3 months).<br>2. Inclusion criteria – more Type 2 Bronchial Asthma should be included.<br>3. Exclusion criteria – Patients with severe symptoms / episodes.                                                                                                                                                                             | Accepted with Recommendations |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE      | FACULTY  | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | RESULT                        |
|---------|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 19.     | Mr. Suthakar R.            | Pharmacy | <b>Not Accepted due to the following reasons:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Preparation of the formulations not in order.</li> <li>2. Characterization of the products not described properly.</li> <li>3. Pharmacokinetic study not in order.</li> <li>4. Invivo study not explained properly.</li> </ol> | Not Accepted                  |
| 20.     | Mr.Karthikeyan S.          | Pharmacy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accepted                      |
| 21.     | Mr.Nithyananth M.          | Pharmacy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accepted                      |
| 22.     | Mrs.Nithya R               | Pharmacy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accepted                      |
| 23.     | Mr.Pranav<br>Ragavendra S. | Pharmacy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accepted                      |
| 24.     | Mr.Muthukumaran G.         | Pharmacy | To include standardization procedure for the isolated compounds and structural characterization methods should be elaborated.                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 25.     | Mr.Siva<br>Subramaniyan P  | Pharmacy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Absent                        |
| 26.     | Mrs.Shyamala J.K           | Pharmacy | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Modify the title of the proposed work incorporate minimum of two drugs.</li> <li>2. Justification for using Bioenhancers for increasing Bioavailability of Rivastigmine.</li> </ol>                                                                                              | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 27.     | Mrs. R. Rajeswari          | Pharmacy | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Research topic is not related to the work submitted and there is no correlation.</li> <li>2. Clarity in the Pharmacokinetics study to be elaborated.</li> <li>3. Detailed quantification method for the isolated compounds should be provided.</li> </ol>                        | Not Accepted                  |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE   | FACULTY       | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | RESULT                         |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 28.     | Mrs. S.Poongothai       | Pharmacy      | 1. Title of the proposed research work has to be modified incorporating plant taken for research and remove polyherbal extracts.<br>2.Methodology for quantification of isolated compounds other than U.V. spectroscopy method.                                                                                   | Accepted with Recommendations. |
| 29.     | Mr. Arul Joseph Arun J. | Physiotherapy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accepted                       |
| 30.     | Mr. Charles Richard H   | Physiotherapy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accepted                       |
| 31.     | Mr. Michael Selvaraj A. | Physiotherapy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accepted                       |
| 32.     | Mrs. Arul Priya M       | Physiotherapy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accepted                       |
| 33.     | Mrs.Benazir S.          | Physiotherapy | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accepted                       |
| 34.     | Mrs. Kanagadurga M      | Nursing       | 1. The research question is not interesting, lacks novelty and has ethical dimensions. Therefore, modify the same.<br>2. Most of the proposed combination of interventions are already in practice.<br>3. Methods section needs to be revised after answering the above (use standard gudelines – SPIRIT).        | Accepted with Recommendations  |
| 35.     | Mrs.Manimozhi R         | Nursing       | 1. Specify the outcomes of interest as primary and secondary Accordingly, spell out the sample size and analysis plan.<br>2. Elaborate the intervention and control in detail (Use TIDIER template).<br>3. Use validated tools to measure outcomes.<br>4. Use standard guidelines (SPIRIT) to expand the methods. | Accepted with Recommendations  |
| 36.     | Mrs.G. Angel Rajakumari | Nursing       | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Absent                         |

| <b>Sl. No.</b> | <b>NAME OF THE CANDIDATE</b> | <b>FACULTY</b> | <b>REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | <b>RESULT</b>                 |
|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 37.            | Mrs. Jailani K.              | Nursing        | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Revisit the research question with reference to feasibility, innovativeness and ethical dimensions. (Interventions and outcomes).</li> <li>2. Revisit the tools and follow-up duration for validity and practicality.</li> <li>3. Update the sample size with reference to primary outcome of interest.</li> <li>4. Write the protocol as per the standard guidelines (SPIRIT – TIDIER for interventions).</li> </ol>                                   | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 38.            | Mrs.Vanitha V                | Nursing        | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rationale and need for the study are not adequately presented with evidence from the literature.</li> <li>2. Identify the appropriate study population/groups for applying the intervention (including the sample size considerations).</li> <li>3. If adequate justification is there for MEP, provide methods for developing the package and validation of the same.</li> <li>4. Use standard guidelines (SPIRIT) to develop the protocol.</li> </ol> | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 39.            | Mrs.Bency Probha Y.          | Nursing        | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The rationale for the study intervention, study participants and measurement tools is not strong and not upto-date.</li> <li>2. The comparison groups are not spelt out with details.</li> <li>3. Ethical consideration is not elaborated.</li> <li>4. Standard guidelines (SPIRIT) may be used to formulate technically sound proposal.</li> </ol>                                                                                                     | Not Accepted                  |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE       | FACULTY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | RESULT                        |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 40.     | Mrs.Shalin Gnanapriya R     | Nursing | 1. Need for the study to be significant / Novelty the study to be specified.<br>2. Methodology to be modified as discussed Design, introduction, follow-up<br>3. Objective data to be considered not only knowledge..<br>4. Tools to be refined.<br>5. Modify the proposal and resubmit.                              | Not Accepted                  |
| 41.     | Mrs.Mohana S.T              | Nursing | 1. Intervention (in terms of Empowerment) needs to clearly defined.<br>2. Outcome assessment – not specified properly.<br>3. Modify as per the suggestions and Re-submit.                                                                                                                                             | Not Accepted                  |
| 42.     | Mrs.Sam Asir Sugantharaj R. | Nursing | 1. For experimental/control sample – ensure setting compatibility.<br>2. Neutral person to assess the outcomes (blinding).<br>3. Assessment of sleep quality to be done daily.<br>4. Intervention to be done individually.<br>5. Tool needs to be used after validating in cold setting.                              | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 43.     | Mrs. Mary Joseph            | Nursing | ---                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Absent                        |
| 44.     | Mrs. Gandhimathi R          | Nursing | 1. In inclusion Criteria – Adults with Diabetes Mellitus also can be included.<br>2. Adherence to Medication to be monitored in control group also along with Routine Case.<br>3. Duration of each intervention to be mentioned.<br>4. Follow up assessment for Diabetes should be included as a dependent variables. | Accepted with Recommendations |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE  | FACULTY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | RESULT                        |
|---------|------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 45.     | Mrs.Poornalakshmi S.   | Nursing | 1. Clarification regarding inclusion of other family members in the study (categorywise).<br>2. Clarification regarding the Haemoglobin measurements for all the family members or only to the Adolescent girls.<br>3. In control group not providing supplementation is unethical hence consider providing FST to both the group Ensure that the compliance to intervention throughout the study to be monitored. | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 46.     | Mrs.Jayasree C         | Nursing | 1. Inclusion of Hb1AC in Biochemical parameters in both the groups (to assess the outcomes).<br>2. Intervention can be classified into two training phase and intervention phase.<br>3. Adherence of Intervention to be monitored by the investigator throughout the study (including anti-diabetic medications in both groups).                                                                                   | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 47.     | Mrs. Jessica Esther N. | Nursing | -----                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Absent                        |
| 48.     | Mrs. Vatchaladevi M.   | Nursing | 1. In inclusion criteria students with severe stress also included.<br>2. School based intervention can be mentioned in control group.<br>3. Consider assessing the cortisol level in students with moderate to severe stress since it is not planned for all students.                                                                                                                                            | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 49.     | Mrs. Arockia Jesi S    | Nursing | 1. To define adolescent health.<br>2. Modify objectives and hypothesis (as suggested).<br>3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria to be modified.<br>4. Intervention and outcome measures need to be matched.<br>5. No plan for assessment of family members.<br>6. Compliance and intervention – Specify how it will be measured?                                                                                     | Accepted with Recommendations |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | FACULTY             | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | RESULT                         |
|---------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 50.     | Mrs. Chitra A.I.      | Nursing             | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Statement of the problem, objectives and hypothesis are not matching – need to be modified as per the discussion.</li> <li>2. Knowledge, Glycemic index and life style practices can be included as dependent variable along with maternal and foetal outcome.</li> <li>3. Reframing of objective and hypothesis need to be done.</li> <li>4. Interventions strategies need to clearly planned and executed with protocol.</li> <li>5. Sample size – need to be checked.</li> <li>6. Acceptability and compliance need to be rationalized.</li> <li>7. Describe the interventions in detail?</li> <li>8. Clarify how the intervention and SOC (in control) would be different?</li> <li>9. What if some of the GDM mothers be given pharmaceutical interventions, Will they be excluded?</li> </ol> | Accepted with Recommendations  |
| 51.     | Miss. Deepika J.      | Biomedical Sciences | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sample size to be increased to 30.</li> <li>2. In Exclusion criteria to clearly mention that patients with cochlear anomalies are excluded.</li> <li>3. Duration between the onset of hearing loss and cochlear implantation.</li> <li>4. To be specific about the outcome questionnaires regarding validity and standardisation if it is administered in different languages.</li> <li>5. To mention about the fluency in the language of the professional administering the speech tests in various languages.</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accepted with Recommendations. |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE      | FACULTY       | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | RESULT                        |
|---------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 52.     | Mrs. M. Anitha             | Public Health | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed study design is case-control study and it is expected to have a specific hypothesis for this design. The Research Question may be focussed further and appropriate design may be chosen subsequently. The exclusion Criteria is not clear. The assumptions for calculating the sample size may be elaborated with available literature.</li> <li>2. Research leading onto Ph.D. should be focussed. Clubbing 'basic research' with QoL and 'out-of-pocket expenditure' is untenable.</li> <li>3. It is suggested that the study can focus to study the prevalent metabolomic profile in Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Case control study will not be applicable if the hypothesis has not been generated.</li> </ol> | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 53      | Mrs. Sunitha J             | Nursing       | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rationale / Justification are not supported by adequate literature evidence.</li> <li>2. Research question is not appropriate for Ph.D. level Research.</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Not Accepted                  |
| 54      | Mrs. Joslin Anna Bel P. C. | Nursing       | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Revisit the study (ies) done in the same setting / elsewhere in order to state the gaps and improve the methods.</li> <li>2. Study addresses two types of study participants. Therefore rewrite the methods section accordingly.</li> <li>3. Reframe the study objectives / methods with reference to the other parts of the work . <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Video material development.</li> <li>b) tools for measurement.</li> </ol> </li> <li>4. Register CTRI.</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accepted with Recommendations |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE | FACULTY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | RESULT                        |
|---------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 55.     | Mrs. Amalit Vimala A  | Nursing | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed intervention has to be developed and standardized based on evidence from literature. Safety profile has to be provided or generated (considering vulnerable nature of the study participants). This can be one of the objectives.</li> <li>2. Include subject matter expert, if the intervention includes elements outside the nursing/medical purview. Register with CTRI.</li> <li>3. Suggest having one primary research objective based on relevant outcome; Reduce number of measurement tools accordingly.</li> <li>4. Suggest having individuals with breast milk feeding issues as study participants.</li> </ol> | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 56.     | Mrs. Amutha V M       | Nursing | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The topic of anemia is well researched especially nutritional interventions. The rationale is not sufficient.</li> <li>2. The proposed intervention is not evidence-based.</li> <li>3. The proposed outcomes are not relevant (to be measured) the duration of intervention.</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Not Accepted                  |
| 57.     | Mrs. Sahaya Hestrin S | Nursing | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Suggest to do a study of “Describing” the impulsiveness in adolescents with ADHD and then exploring them analytically for patterns.</li> <li>2. The study can explore dimensions from all the stakeholders concerned and may propose developing interventions.</li> <li>3. As such the proposed intervention and the outcome thereof are non-specific and may not be relevant to the target participants. If relevant, register CTRI.</li> <li>4. Consider “vulnerable” nature of the study participants while designing the study.</li> </ol>                                                                                         | Accepted with Recommendations |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE  | FACULTY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | RESULT                        |
|---------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 58.     | Mrs. Margret Nisha C   | Nursing | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The interventions proposed are not evidence-based.</li> <li>2. The outcomes are not specific to the “proposed” interventions.</li> <li>3. Suggest restricting to specific evidence -based intervention and plausible outcome thereof.</li> </ol>                                                                                                          | Not Accepted                  |
| 59.     | Mrs. Vinitha Sterlin M | Nursing | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The intervention needs to be evidence-based and can be named appropriately; Hence, title may be changed.</li> <li>2. Choose a relevant primary outcome and State the other outcome – can be secondary.</li> <li>3. Accordingly revisit the methods section (eg. Sample size; Randomization and analysis plan).</li> <li>4. Register with CTRI.</li> </ol> | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 60.     | Mrs. Pushpa S          | Nursing | <p><b>Revisit the outcome definition; Define a primary outcome</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Use SPIRIT to develop the protocol; TIDIER to write interventions (“music therapy”).</li> <li>2. Register with CTRI.</li> <li>3. Revise the protocol especially sample size, analysis plan.</li> <li>4. Consider cost analysis as a secondary objective.</li> </ol>                | Accepted with Recommendations |

| Sl. No. | NAME OF THE CANDIDATE      | FACULTY | REMARKS OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | RESULT                        |
|---------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 61.     | Mrs. Bindhu N              | Nursing | 1. Be specific to design intervention package based on evidence from literature; and specify the outcome (Primary and Secondary outcomes).<br>2. Use SPIRIT; CLARIFY guidelines; Use TIDIER for writing interventions and Register with CTRI.<br>3. Rewrite the methods (Sample size; Data collection; Randomization; Analysis plan) following above revisions.<br>4. Address ethical concerns for the age group of the study participants.<br>5. Use relevant literature and cite them appropriately. | Accepted with Recommendations |
| 62.     | Mrs. Arunothaya Jebarani D | Nursing | 1. Research question is not appropriate for Ph.D. level research.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Not Accepted                  |

**REMARKS:**

**ACCEPTED** : Candidates are instructed to submit the joining report along with Provisional Registration fees through the Guide within 10 working days from the date of publication of result.

**ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS** : Candidates are instructed to submit the Compliance Report through the Guide within 30 working days from the date of publication of results. Further, the date and Session of the Provisional Registration will be fixed after the approval of your compliance report by the members of the Screening Committee

**NOT ACCEPTED** : Candidates are instructed to submit proposals (Four copies) through the Guide within three months from the date of publication of result.

Prof. Dr. SUDHA SESHAYYAN  
VICE-CHANCELLOR

/True Copy/

Sd/-  
ACADEMIC OFFICER